

Supplementary Notes on Chapter 2 of D. Romer's Advanced Macroeconomics Textbook (4th Edition)

Changsheng Xu & Ming Yi

School of Economics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology

This version: February 23, 2018



Calculus of variations (变分法)

- A field of mathematical analysis that deals with maximizing or minimizing **functionals**, which are mappings from a set of functions to the real numbers.
- Functionals are often expressed as definite integrals involving functions and their derivatives. (e.g., the famous shortest (in time) path problem)
- A useful tool providing a **necessary condition** for finding an extrema, is the **Euler-Lagrange equation**.

Euler-Lagrange equation

Intuition: Finding the extrema of functionals is similar to finding the maxima and minima of functions. This tool provides a link between them to solve the problem. Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{J}[y] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} L(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx, \quad (1)$$

where

- x_1, x_2 are constants.
- $y(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable.
- $y'(x) = \frac{dy}{dx}$.
- $L(x, y(x), y'(x))$ is twice continuously differentiable with respect to all arguments x, y , and y' .

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

If $J[y]$ attains a local minimum at f , and $\eta(x)$ is an arbitrary function that has at least one derivative and vanishes at the endpoints x_1 and x_2 , then for any number $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we must have

$$J[f] \leq J[f + \varepsilon\eta]. \quad (2)$$

Term $\varepsilon\eta$ is called the **variation** of the function f . Now define

$$\Phi(\varepsilon) = J[f + \varepsilon\eta]. \quad (3)$$

Since $J[y]$ has a local minimum at $y = f$, it must be the case that $\Phi(\varepsilon)$ has a minimum at $\varepsilon = 0$ and thus

$$\Phi'(0) = \left. \frac{d\Phi}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left. \frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} dx = 0. \quad (4)$$

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now taking total derivative of $L[x, f + \varepsilon\eta, (f + \varepsilon\eta)']$, we have:

$$\frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y'}\eta'. \quad (5)$$

Inserting (5) into (4) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f}\eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'}\eta' \right) dx \\ &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f}\eta - \eta \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx + \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'}\eta \Big|_{x_1}^{x_2} \\ &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last lines uses integration by parts and the fact that η vanishes at x_1 and x_2 .

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

- However, it is possible to attain (7) based on (6) without applying the lemma!

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

- However, it is possible to attain (7) based on (6) without applying the lemma!
- A special form of η ?

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

- However, it is possible to attain (7) based on (6) without applying the lemma!
- A special form of η ?
- How about $\eta(x)$ equals $-(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right]$ for $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ and 0 for $x \notin [x_1, x_2]$?

Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

- How does (7) degenerate if y' is not an argument of L ?
- Homework: based on equation (2.16) in your textbook, try to derive (2.17).

To be continued...